

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/584,232 05/31/2000		Daniel J. Greden	MCS-119-99	2434		
27662	7590 06/22/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
LYON & HARR, LLP			RHODE JR,	RHODE JR, ROBERT E		
OXNARD, C	ADE DRIVE, SUITE 800 A 93036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			3625	3625		
			DATE MAILED: 06/22/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	MARKET THE STATE OF THE STATE O	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/584,23		GREDEN ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
	•	Rob Rhoo	le	3625				
The N	NAILING DATE of this communic				dress			
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>26 <i>April</i> 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠ This a	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of (	Claims							
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>								
Application Pa	pers							
9)∏ The sp	ecification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice of Dra 3) Information D	erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

**福度跨速的设置**。

海髓信息 医连续压 电影音器 电集团指电电路 智計 利

Application/Control Number: 09/584,232

Art Unit: 3625

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1$ 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment of 4-26-04 amended claims 1, 7-8, 10, and 20 and traversed rejections of Claims 1-27.

Currently, claims 1 - 27 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

In Claims 1 – 9, the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claim is directed to a process that does nothing more than manipulate an abstract idea. There is no practical application in the technological arts. See In re Musgrave, 167 USPQ 280 (CCPA 1970) and In re Johnston, 183 USPQ 172 (CCPA 1974). For example in claim 1, the invention in the *body* of the claim does not recite the use of nor incorporate any technology in carrying out the recited method steps and therefore is not statutory. If the invention in the body of the claim is not tied to the technological arts, environment or machine, the claim is not statutory. See Ex parte Bowman, 61 USPQ2d 1665, 1671 (BD. Pat. App. & Inter. 2001) [Unpublished] and note MPEP 2106 IV 2(b). While Bowman is not precedential, it has been cited for its analysis.

Art Unit: 3625

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1 – 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raveis, Jr (US Patent 6,321,202 B1) in view of Burge (US 6,014,638) and further in view of Mini (US 6,684,196 B1).

Regarding Claim 1 and related claims 10 and 20, Raveis teaches a computer implemented method and system for finding a prospective buyer and providing the identity of the buyer to agents offering for sale at least one of products or services, the method comprising using a computer to perform the following process actions: - providing the buyer with an interactive environment having information relating to the products or services offered by the agents (Col 3, lines 41 – 45 and Figures 1 and 10).

However, Raveis does not specifically disclose and teach creating a profile of the buyer by inferring criteria desired by the buyer based on the buyer's interaction with the interactive environment; comparing the profile and the inferred criteria with criteria of the products or services offered by the agents to match a suitable agent with a suitable buyer based on the created profile of the buyer.

Art Unit: 3625

On the other hand, Burge discloses and teaches creating a profile of the buyer by inferring criteria desired by the buyer based on the buyer's interaction with the interactive environment; comparing the profile and the inferred criteria with criteria of the products or services offered by the agents to match a suitable agent with a suitable buyer based on the created profile of the buyer (see at least Abstract, Col 1, lines 7 – 16, Col 3, lines 1 – 17 and Figures 2A and B).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the method and system of Raveis with the method and system of Burge to enable the ability for the computer implemented method and system to compare the profile and the inferred criteria with criteria of the products or services offered by the agents to match a suitable agent with a suitable buyer based on the created profile of the buyer and providing - in order to enable the ability of the method and system to automatically search and match agent specific criteria with appropriate buyers who fit their specific criteria such as buyers who can only afford homes in excess of 1 million dollars. Raveis discloses a method and system for providing the buyer with an interactive environment having information relating to the products or services offered by the agents (Abstract, Col 3, lines 41 – 45 and Figures 1 and 10). Burge discloses a method and system for creating a profile of the buyer by inferring criteria desired by the buyer based on the buyer's interaction with the interactive environment; comparing the profile and the inferred criteria with criteria of the products or services offered by the agents to match a suitable agent with a suitable buyer based on the

子表 对原则 海豚等原门根鄉門

...

Art Unit: 3625

created profile of the buyer (see at least Abstract, Col 1, lines 7 – 16, Col 3, lines 1 – 17 and Figures 2A and B). Therefore one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to extend the method and system of Raveis with the method and system of Burge to create a profile of the buyer by inferring criteria desired by the buyer based on the buyer's interaction with the interactive environment; comparing the profile and the inferred criteria with criteria of the products or services offered by the agents to match a suitable agent with a suitable buyer based on the created profile of the buyer (see at least Abstract, Col 1, lines 7 – 16, Col 3, lines 1 – 17 and Figures 2A and B). In that regard, the agent is match most closely with appropriate buyer and thereby ensuring that the buyer will have supporting them an agent who is most appropriate, which will also significantly improve the buyer's satisfaction. With the improved customer satisfaction, the customer's probability will increase that they will recommend the site/portal to others.

The combination of Raveis and Burge substantially disclose and teach the applicant's invention.

However, the combination does not specifically disclose providing the identity of the suitable buyer to the suitable agent.

On the other hand, Mini discloses and teaches providing the identity of the suitable buyer to the suitable agent (see at least Col 8, lines 48 - 55).

医分类 自由国际服务职业的工具 医含含氯甲基甲酚 超速性的 超速性光度

Art Unit: 3625

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the combination of Raveis and Burge with the method and system of Mini to have enabled providing the identity of the suitable buyer to the suitable agent. The combination of Raveis and Burge disclose a computer implemented method and system for finding a prospective buyer and providing the identity of the buyer to agents offering for sale at least one of products or services, the method comprising using a computer to perform the following process actions: - providing the buyer with an interactive environment having information relating to the products or services offered by the agents; creating a profile of the buyer by inferring criteria desired by the buyer based on the buyer's interaction with the interactive environment; comparing the profile and the inferred criteria with criteria of the products or services offered by the agents to match a suitable agent with a suitable buyer based on the created profile of the buyer. Mini discloses a method and system providing the identity of the suitable buyer to the suitable agent (see at least Col 8, lines 48 – 55). Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to extend the combination of Raveis and Burge with the feature of providing the identity of the suitable buyer to the suitable agent (Col 8, lines 48 – 5). In this manner, the appropriate and suitable agent will be identified to a suitable buyer, which will increase buyer as well as agent satisfaction. The increased satisfaction on the part of the buyer will increase the probability that they will recommend the site to others.

Art Unit: 3625

大品的医学学的医学 自己基實 专

Regarding claim 2 (original) and related claims 11 and 21, Raveis teaches a computer implemented method and system, wherein the interactive environment includes allowing the buyer to graphically interact with information relating to the products or services (Col 3, lines 41 - 45 and Figure 1).

Regarding claim 3 (original) and related claims 13 and 23, Raveis teaches a computer implemented method and system, wherein the buyer selects information relating to the products or services (Col 3, lines 41 – 45 and Figures 1 and 10).

Regarding claim 4 (original) and related claims 12, 14 and 22, Burge teaches a computer implemented method and system, wherein the profile is created based on the selections made by the buyer during interaction with the interactive environment (Col 3, lines 3-7).

Regarding claim 5 (original) and related claims 15 and 24, Raveis teaches a computer implemented method and system, wherein the interactive environment includes providing an interactive questionnaire to the buyer (Col 3, lines 45 - 48 and Col 14, lines 8 - 16).

Regarding claim 6 (original) and related claim 16, Raveis teaches a computer implemented, wherein the method and system operates on a computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions for finding a prospective buyer and

Art Unit: 3625

providing the buyer to an agent offering for sale at least one of products or services (Col 8, lines 38 – 54 and Figures 1 and 2).

Regarding claim 7 (currently amended), Burge teaches a computer implemented method and system further comprising the process action of creating a database of buyer profiles and defining groups of specific criteria for specific buyers (Col 5, lines 22 - 25).

Regarding claim 8 (currently amended) and related claim 18, Raveis teaches a computer implemented method and system, further comprising the process action of providing agents access to the database to allow the agents to locate specific buyers based on criteria defined by the agents Col 8, lines 38 - 57).

Regarding claim 9 (original), Burge teaches a computer implemented, wherein comparing the profile and the inferred criteria with criteria of the products or services includes matching specific products or services of the agents that the buyer has a potential interest in based on the generated profile of the buyer (Col 3, lines 1 - 12).

Regarding claim 17 (original), Burge teaches a computer system, wherein the comparator creates a database of buyer profiles and defines groups of specific criteria for specific buyers (Col 5, lines 22 - 24).

Art Unit: 3625

Regarding claim 19 (previously submitted), Burge teaches a computer system, wherein the comparator compares the profile and the inferred criteria with criteria of the products or services by matching specific products or services of the agents that the buyer has a potential interest in based on the created profile of the buyer (Col 3, lines 1 - 12 and Col 5, lines 16 - 35).

Regarding claim 25 (previously submitted) and related claims 26 and 27, Burge teaches a method, wherein comparing the profile and inferred criteria with criteria of the products or services offered by the agents to match a suitable agent with a suitable buyer based on the created profile of the buyer is automatic (Col 5, lines 1 – 12 and Col 10, lines 27 - 45).

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant argues regarding the 35 USC 101 rejection of claims 1- 9 is that the claims produce a "practical application".

With respect to these arguments, the "test" for 35 USC 101 consists of two parts.

These are 1- Does the claimed method provide a useful, tangible and concrete result?

And 2 – Does the claimed method steps in the body of claim recite and incorporate technology to carry out the recited steps? In that regard, claims 1- 9 do pass the first

Art Unit: 3625

test but do not recite and incorporate technology to carry out the recited steps in the body of the claim. As a result, claims 1-9 fail the second test and therefore are not statutory.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Rob Rhode** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-8230**. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Friday 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 3625

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Receptionist** whose telephone number is **(703) 308-1113**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Va. 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 [Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled

"Box AF"]

(703) 746-7418 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7<sup>th</sup> floor receptionist.

RER

/Jleffrey A. Smith Primary Examiner